ORDINANCE NO. 2320

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA, CALIFORNIA ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE TO PAY FOR VARIOUS PUBLIC FACILITIES WITHIN THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA

WHEREAS, the City's General Plan Land Use and Public Facilities Elements require that adequate public facilities be available to accommodate increased population created by new development, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that new development within the City of Chula Vista will create adverse impacts on the City's existing public facilities which must be mitigated by the financing and construction of certain public facilities identified in this ordinance, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that a reasonable means of financing the public facilities is to levy a fee on all developments in the City of Chula Vista, and

WHEREAS, the fee has been justified by the report entitled, "Report on Supplemental Development Impact Fee for Public Facilities" dated June 1989 and prepared by the Budget Officer of the City of Chula Vista, and

WHEREAS, the report and various other reports show that the City's public facilities will be adversely impacted by new developments within the City unless public facilities are improved or constructed to accommodate the new development, and

WHEREAS, developers of land within the City should be required to mitigate the burden created by development through the construction or improvement of public facilities within the boundaries of the development, the construction or improvement of public facilities outside the boundaries of the development which are needed to provide service to the development in accordance with City standards and the payment of a fee to finance a development's portion of the total cost of the public facilities, and

WHEREAS, all development within the City contribute to the cumulative burden on various public facilities in direct relationship to the amount of population generated by the development or the gross acreage of the commercial or industrial land in the development, and

WHEREAS, on August 1, 1989, City Council held a duly noticed meeting at which oral or written presentations could be made, and

WHEREAS, the City Council determined, based upon the evidence presented at the meeting, the City's General Plan and the various reports and other information received by the City Council in the course of its business, that imposition of the public facilities development impact fee on all developments as indicated in Attachments B through G in the City of Chula Vista for which building permits have not yet been issued is necessary in order to protect the public safety and welfare in order to ensure effective implementation of the City's General Plan, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that the amount of the fee levied by this ordinance does not exceed the estimated cost of providing the public facilities.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Establishment of Fee.

- (a) A development impact fee in the amounts set forth in attachments B through G is hereby established to pay for various public facilities within the City of Chula Vista. The fee shall be before the issuance of building permits for each development project within the City of Chula Vista as indicated by Attachments B through G. The fee shall be deposited into a public facility financing fund which is hereby created and shall be expended only for the purposes set forth in the this ordinance. The Director of Finance is authorized to establish various accounts within the fund for the various improvements and facilities identified in this ordinance and to periodically make expenditures from the fund for the purposes set forth herein in accordance with the facilities phasing plan or capital improvement plan adopted by the City Council. The City Council finds that collection of the fees established by this ordinance at the time of the building permit is necessary to ensure that funds will be available for the construction of facilities concurrent with the need for these facilities and to ensure certainty in the capital facilities budgeting for growth impacted public facilities.
- (b) The fee established by this section is in addition to the requirements imposed by other City laws, policies or regulations relating to the construction or the financing of the construction of public improvements within subdivisions or developments.
- (c) The fee for each development shall be calculated at the time of building permit application and shall be the amount as indicated at that time and not when the tentative map or final map were granted or applied for, or when the building permit plan check was conducted. Each single family detached dwelling, single family attached dwelling, or unit within a multi-family dwelling shall be considered one EDU for purposes of this fee. Each commercial project shall be charged at the rate of 10 EDU's per gross acre and each industrial project shall be charged at the rate of 6 EDU's per gross acre. The charges shall be those outlined in Attachments B through G.

The City Council shall annually review the amount of the fee. The City Council may adjust the amount of this fee as necessary to reflect changes in the Engineering-News Record Construction Index, the type, size, location or cost of the various public facilities to be financed by the fee, changes in land use designations in the City's General Plan, and upon other sound engineering, financing and planning information. Adjustments to the above fee may be made by resolution amending the Master Fee Schedule.

- (d) The fees collected shall be used by the City for the following purposes as determined by the City Council:
 - 1. To pay for the construction of facilities by the City, or to reimburse the City for facilities installed by the City with funds from other sources.
 - 2. To reimburse developers who have been required by Section 4(a) of this ordinance to install approved various public facilities listed in Section 3.
 - 3. To reimburse developers who have been permitted to install improvements pursuant to Section 4(b) of this Ordinance.

SECTION 2: Definitions.

For the purposes of this ordinance, the following words or phrases shall be construed as defined in this Section, unless from the context it appears that a different meaning is intended.

- (a) "Building Permit" means a permit required by and issued pursuant to the Uniform Building Code as adopted by reference by this City.
- (b) "Developer" means the owner or developer of a development.
- (c) "Development Permit" means any discretionary permit, entitlement or approval for a development project issued under any zoning or subdivision ordinance of the City.
- (d) "Development Project" or "Development" means any activity described in Section 65927 and 65928 of the State Government Code.
- (e) "Supplemental Development Impact Fee Report" means that the "Report on Supplemental Development Fee for Public Facilities" report prepared by the Budget Officer of the City of Chula Vista dated June 28, 1989, and on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

SECTION 3: Public Facilities to be Financed by the Fee.

- (a) The various public facilities to be financed by the fee established in this ordinance are:
 - 1. Expansion of the Chula Vista Civic Center.
 - 2. Remodeling of the Police facility.
 - 3. Construction of a new corporation yard in the Eastern Territories.
 - 4. Construction of two new libraries east of I-805.
 - 5. Expansion of the Fire Suppression system.
 - 6. Geographic Information System.
- (b) The City Council may modify or amend the list of projects in order to maintain compliance with the City's General Plan or the Capital Improvement Program.

SECTION 4: Developer Construction of Various Public Facilities

- (a) Whenever a developer of a development project would be required by application of City law or policy as a condition of approval of a development permit to construct or finance the construction of a portion of a public facility identified in Section 3 of this ordinance, the City Council may impose an additional requirement that the developer install the improvements with supplemental size or capacity in order to ensure efficient and timely construction of the public facilities listed in this ordinance. If such a requirement is imposed, the City Council shall, in its discretion, enter into a reimbursement agreement with the developer or give a credit against the fee otherwise levied by this ordinance on the development project or some combination thereof.
- (b) A developer may request authorization from the the City Council to construct one or more of the facilities listed in Section 3.
- (c) Whenever a developer requests reimbursement or a credit against fees for work done or paid by the developer under subsections (a) or (b) of this section, the request shall be submitted in writing to the City Council before commencement of the work. The request shall contain the following information and if granted, shall be subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. Detailed descriptions of the project with the preliminary cost estimate.

2. Requirements of developer:

 preparation of plans and specifications for approval by the City;

secure and dedicate any right-of-way required for the

project;

- secure all required permits, environmental clearances

necessary for construction of the project;

- provision of performance bonds (where the developer intends to utilize provisions for immediate credit, the performance bond shall be for 100 percent of the value of the project);

payment of all City fees and costs.

- 3. The City will not be responsible for any of the costs of constructing the project. The developer shall advance all necessary funds to construct the project.
- 4. The developer shall secure at least three (3) qualified bids for work to be done. The construction contract shall be granted to the lowest qualified bidder. If qualified, the developer may agree to perform the work at a price equal to or less than the low bid. Any claims for additional payment for extra work or charges during construction shall be justified and shall be documented to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
- 5. The developer shall provide a detailed cost estimate which itemizes those costs of the construction attributable to the Public Facility Construction and excludes any work attributable to a specific subdivision project. The estimate is preliminary and subject to final determination by the Director of Public Works upon completion of the Public Facility Project.
- 6. Upon approval of the estimated cost by the Director of Public Works, the developer shall be entitled to immediate credit for 75 percent of the estimated cost of the construction attributable to the Public Facility Construction. The immediate credits shall be applied to the developers' obligation to pay fees for building permits issued after the establishment of the credit. The developer shall specify these building permits to which the credit is to be applied at the time the developer submits the building permit applications.
- 7. If the developer uses all of the 75 percent immediate credit before final completion of the public facility, then the developer may defer payment of Development Impact Fees for other building permits by providing to the City liquid

security such as cash or an irrevocable letter of credit, but not bonds or set-aside letters, in an amount equal to the remaining 25 percent of the estimated cost of the public facility.

- 8. When all work has been completed to the satisfaction of the City, the developer shall submit verification of payments made for the construction of the project to the City. The Director of Public Works shall make the final determination on expenditures which are eligible for credit or cash reimbursement.
- After final determination of eligible expenditures has been made by the Public Works Director, the final amount of Development Impact Fee credits shall be determined. developer shall receive credit against the deferred fee obligation in an amount equal to the difference between the final expenditure determination and the amount of the 75 The amount of the percent immediate credit used if anv. deferred fee obligations shall be based upon the fee schedule in effect at the time of the final credit determination. The Director of Public Works shall convert the credit to an EDU basis for residential development and/or a Gross Acre basis for commercial or industrial development. The City shall notify the developer of the final deferred fee obligation, and of the amount of the applicable credit. If the amount of the applicable credit is less than the deferred fee obligation, then developer shall have thirty (30) days to pay the deferred If the deferred fees are not paid within the thirty-day period, the City may make a demand against the liquid security and apply the proceeds to obligation.
- 10. The developer will receive the credit against required Development Impact Fees incrementally at the time building permits are issued for the developer's project.

If the total construction cost amounts to more than the total Development Impact Fees which will be required for the developer's project, then the amount in excess of Development Impact Fees will be paid in cash when funds are available as determined by the City Manager, a reimbursement agreement will be executed; or the developer may waive reimbursement and use the excess as credit against future Development Impact Fee obligations.

SECTION 5: Procedure for Fee Waiver or Reduction:

Any developer who, because of the nature or type of uses proposed for a development project, contends that application of the fee imposed by this ordinance is unconstitutional or unrelated to mitigation of the burdens of the development, may apply to the City Council for a waiver or reduction of the fee. The application shall be made in writing and filed with the City Clerk not later than ten (10) days after notice of the public hearing on the development permit application for the project is given, or if no development permit is required, at the time of the filing of the building permit application. The application shall state in detail the factual basis for the claim of waive or reduction. The City Council shall consider the application within sixty (60) days after its filing. decision of the City Council shall be final. If a reduction or waiver is granted, any change in use within the project shall subject the development to payment of the fee. The procedure provided by this section is additional to any other procedure authorized by law for protection or challenging the fee imposed by this ordinance.

SECTION 6: Exemptions.

Development projects by public agencies shall be exempt from the provisions of the fee if those projects are designed to provide the public service for which the agency is charged.

SECTION 7: Assessment District.

If any assessment or special taxing district is established for any or all of the facilities listed in Section 3, the owner or developer of a project may apply to the City Council for a credit against the fee in an amount equal to the development's attributable portion of the cost of the authorized improvements as determined by the Director of Public Works plus incidental costs normally occurring with the construction project but excluding costs associated with Assessment District proceedings or financing.

SECTION 8: Expiration of this Ordinance.

This ordinance shall be no further force and effect when the City Council determines that the amount of fees which had been collected reaches an amount equal to the cost of the public facilities or reimbursements.

SECTION 9: Time Limit for Judicial Action.

Any judicial action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void or annul this ordinance shall be brought within the time period as established by Government Code Section 54995 after the effective date of this ordinance.

SECTION 10: Effective Date.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65962, this ordinance shall become effective sixty (60) days after its second reading and adoption.

Presented by

Approved as to form by

David C. Byers Budget Officer Thomas J. Hapron

City Attorney

WPC 2869A

	FIRST READ AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE
(.' OF	CHULA VISTA, CALIFORNIA, HELD <u>August 8</u> , 19 <u>89</u> , AND
FINALLY	PASSED AND ADOPTED AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF HELD August 15
1989	, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE, TO-WIT:
AYES:	Councilmen:Moore, McCandliss, Nader, Cox, Malcolm
NAYES:	Councilmen: None
ABSTAIN:	Councilmen : None
ABSENT:	Councilmen: None
	Leson R. Cox
ATTEST_	cting City Clerk
	CALIFORNIA) F SAN DIEGO) ss. ULA VISTA)
NO HERERY	I, JENNIE M. FULASZ, CMC, CITY CLERK of the City of Chula Vista, California,
	No. $\frac{2320}{}$ and that the same has not been amended or repealed.
ORDINANCE	, and that the same has not been amended of repealed.
DATED	
	City Clerk

CC-660

ATTACEMENT "A"

POPULATION PROJECTION TABLE FOR THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA

COMMUNITY / ADD 4	JULY	988 TO 1990 POP	REVISED:	12-Ju1-89 1990 TO 1995 POP CHANGE	08:34 AM 1988 TO 1995 POP CHANGE	1995	1995 TO 2000 POP CHANGE	1988 TO 2000 POP CHANGE	2000	2000 TO 2005 POP CHANGE	1988 TO 2005 POP CHANGE	2005	2005 TO 2010 POP CHANGE	1988 TO 2010 POP CHANGE	2010
COMMUNITY/AREA	1988	CHANGE	1990												
SNEETWATER/BONITA	34,600	550	35 , 1 5 0		4,470	39,070	3,670	8,140	42,740		11,310	45,910	2,790	14,100	48,700
UNINCORPERATED	13,900	290	14,190	1,010	1,300	15,200	990	2,290	16,190	•	3,510	17,410	1,240	4,750	18,650
SWEETWATER/BONITA CITY ONLY	20,700	260	20,960	2,910	3,170	23,870	2,680	5,850	26,550		7,800	28,500	1,550	9,350	30,050
EASTERN TERRITORIES	7,400	400	7,800	11,250	11,650	19,050	15,360	27,010	34,410		44,980	52,380	5,220	50,200	57,600
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	28,100	660	28,760	14,160	14,820	42,920	18,040	32,860	60,960		52,780	80 ,880	6,770	59,550	87,650
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	50,500	390	50,890	350	740	51,240	190	930	51,430	410	1,340	51,640	1,460	2,800	53,300
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	46,900	540	47,440	340	880	47,780	390	1,270	48,170	310	1,580	48,480	1,320	2,900	49,800
TOTAL CITY POPULATION	125,500	1,590	127,090	14,850	16,440	141,940	18,620	35,060	160,560	20,640	55,700	181,200	9,550	65,250	190,750
C	CONVERSION OF	F POPULATION	TO # OF HOL	USEHOI.DS			RESIDENTIAL ED	u·s							

OF PERSONS/HOUSEHOLD:

2.67

											% CHANGE
	1988	1988 TO 1990	1990 TO 1995	1988 TO 1995	1995 TO 2000	1988 TO 2000	2000 TO 2005	1988 TO 2005	2005 TO 2010	1988 TO 2010	OF EDU'S
COMMUNITY/AREA	EDU'S	ADDL EDII'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADOL EDU'S	ADOL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADOL EDU'S	ADDI. EDU'S	1988 TO 2010
						************			12		
SWEETWATER/BONITA	12,958.80	205.99	1,468.16	1,674.16	1,374.53	3,048.69	1,187.27	4,235.96	1,044.94	5,280.90	40.75%
UNINCORPERATED	5,205.99	108.61	378.28	486.89	370.79	857.68	456.93	1,314.61	464.42	1,779.03	34.17%
SWEETWATER/BONITA	7,752.81	97.38	1,089.89	1,187.27	1,003.75	2,191.01	730.34	2,921.35	580.52	3,501.87	45.17%
CITY ONLY											
EASTERN TERRITORIES	2,771.54	149.81	4,213.48	4,363.30	5,752.81	10,116.10	6,730.34	16,846.44	1,955.06	18,801.50	678.38%
•											
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	10,524.34	247.19	5,303.37	5,550. 5 6	6,756.55	12,307.12	7,460.67	19,767.79	2,535.58	22,303.37	211.92%
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	18,913.86	146.07	131.09	277.15	71.16	348.31	153.56	501.87	546.82	1,048.69	5.54%
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	17,565.54	202.25	127.34	329.59	146.07	475.66	116.10	591.76	494.38	1,086.14	6.18%
•											
TOTAL ADDITIONAL EDU'S	47,003.75	595.51	5,561.80	6,157.30	6,973.78	13,131.09	7,730.34	20,861.42	3,576.78	24,438.20	51.99%

ATTACEMENT "A1"

6,000.00

3,920.00

		PROJECTION OF COMM	ERCIAL AND INDU	STRIAL ACREAGE	FOR THE CITY OF	CHULA VISTA GENE	RAL PLAN AREA			
CO MM UNITY/AREA	1990 TO 1995 COMMERCIAL ACREAGE CHANGE	REVISED: 1990 TO 1995 INDUSTRIAL ACREAGE CHANGE	12-Ju1-89 1995 TO 2000 COM€RCIAL ACREAGE CHNG	INDUSTRIAL	2000 TO 2005 CONNERCIAL ACREAGE CHNG	INDUSTRIAL	2005 TO 2010 COMMERCIAL ACREAGE CHING	2005 TO 2010 INDUSTRIAL ACREAGE CHNG	1990 TO 2010 COMMERCIAL ACREAGE CHING	INDUSTRIAL
	2222222222222	E = E = E = E = E = A + E = E = E	***********		***********		**********		*************	
SWEETWATER/BONITA CITY ONLY	16	4	17	5	20	7	22	8	74	25
EASTERN TERRITORIES	45	154	51	201	57	263	63	307	216	925
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	61	159	68	206	77	270	84	315	290	950
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	21	8	24	11	27	14	30	17	102	50
MONTGOM€RY/OTAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COMM/INDUSTRIAL ACREAGE	82	167	92	217	104	284	114	332	392	1,000
	CONVERSION OF AC	RES TO EDU'S								
	EDU'S PER COMMER	CIAL ACRE: 10	0		EDU'S PER INDU	STRIAL ACRE: (5			
	1990 TO 1995	1990 TO 1995	1995 TO 2000	1995 TO 2000	2000 TO 2005	2000 TO 2005		2005 TO 2010		1990 TO 2010
	ADDI. EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADOL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADOL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S	ADDL EDU'S
COMMUNITY/AREA	COPERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COMPERCIAL.	INDUSTRIAL	COPPERCIAL.	INDUSTRIAL
SWEETWATER/BONITA CITY ONLY	155.80	25.05	174.80	32.55	197.60	42.60	216.60	49.80	744.80	150.00
EASTERN TERRITORIES	451.00	926.85	506.00	1,204.35	572.00	1,576.20	627.00	1,842.60	2,156.00	5,550.00
TOTAL EAST OF 1-805	606.80	951.90	680.80	1,236.90	769.60	1,618.80	843.60	1,892.40	2,900.80	5,700.00
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	213.20	50.10	239.20	65.10	270.40	85.20	296.40	99.60	1,019.20	300.00
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

1,040.00

1,704.00

1,140.00

1,992.00

920.00

1,302.00

TOTAL ADDITIONAL EDU'S

820.00

1,002.00

ATTACHMENT "A2"

TOTAL EDU'S FOR THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA PLANNING AREA

RE VISED: 12-Jul-89 08:34 AM

COMMUNITY/AREA	1988 TO 1990 ADDL EDU'S	1990 TO 1995 ADDL EDU'S	1988 TO 1995 ADDL EDU'S	1995 TO 2000 ADDL EDU'S	1988 TO 2000 ADOL EDU'S	2000 TO 2005 ADDL EDU'S	1988 TO 2005 ADOL EDU'S	2005 TO 2010 ADOL EDU'S	1988 TO 2010 ADOL EDU'S
SWEET WATER/BONITA	97.38	1,270.74	1,368.12	1,211.10	2,579.21	970.54	3,549.75	846.92	4,396.67
CITY ONLY EASTERN TERRITORIES	149.81	5,591.33	5,741.15	7,463.16	13,204.30	8,878.54	22,082.84	4,424.66	•
TOTAL EAST OF 1-805	247.19	6,862.07	7,109.26	8,674.25	15,783.52	9,849.07	25,632.59	5,271.58	30,904.17
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	146.07	394.39	540.45	375.46	915.91	509.16	1,425.07	942.82	2,367.89
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	202.25	127.34	329.59	146.07	475.66	116.10	591.76	494.38	1,086.14
TOTAL ADDITIONAL EDU'S	595.51	7,383.80	7,979.30	9,195.78	17,175.09	10,474.34	27,649.42	6,708.78	34,358.20

ATTACHMENT "B"

SPREAD OF COSTS FOR CIVIC CENTER EXPANSION

REVISED: 12-Jul-89

TTL EXPANSION COST: \$16,417,345

08:34 AM

COST PER EDU:

\$478

COMPUNITY/AREA	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 1990	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1990 TO 1995	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 1995	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1995 TO 2000	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2000	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2000 TO 2005	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2005	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2005 TO 2010	TOTAL \$'S GEMERATED 1988 TO 2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA	\$46,530	\$607,195	\$653,725	\$578,696	\$1,232,422	\$463,751	\$1,696,173	\$404,685	\$2,100,858
(CITY ONLY) EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$71,585	\$2,671,701	\$2,743,286	\$3,566,114	\$6,309,400	\$4,242,422	\$10,551,822	\$2,114,229	\$12,666,051
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	\$118,115	\$3,278,896	\$3,397,011	\$4,144,810	\$7,541,822	\$4,706,173	\$12,247,995	\$2,518,914	\$14,766,909
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$69,795 \$96,640	\$188,449 \$60,847	\$258,244 \$157,487	\$179,406 \$69,795	\$437,651 \$227,282	\$243,290 \$55,478	\$680,941 \$282,760	\$450,505 \$236,230	\$1,131,446 \$518,990
TOTAL DOLLARS GENERATED:	\$284,550	\$3,528,193	\$3,812,742	\$4,394,012	\$8,206,754	\$5,004,942	\$13,211,696	\$3,205,649	\$16,417,345

COMPLUNITY/AREA	COST/EDII 1988 TO 1990	CONTACRE CONTERCIAL 1988 TO 1990	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 1988 TO 1990	COST/EDU 1990-1995	COMMERCIAL 1990-1995	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 1990-1995	COST/EDII 1995-2000	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 1995-2000	COST/ACRE INDISTRIAL 1995-2000	COST/EDU 2000-2005	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 2000-2005	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 2000-2005	COST/EDII 2005-2010	CONSTRUCTAL 2005-2010	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 2005-2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867	\$478	\$4,778		\$478	\$4,778		\$478	\$4,778		\$478	\$4,778	
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867	\$478	\$4,778	-	\$478	\$4,778	• •	\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867	\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867
TOTAL EAST OF 1-805	\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867	\$478	\$4,778		\$478	\$4,778	\$2,867	\$478	\$4,778			\$4,778	\$2,867
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$478 \$478	\$4,778 \$4,778	\$2,867 \$2,867	\$478 \$478	\$4,778 \$4,778	-	\$478 \$478	\$4,778 \$4,778		\$478 \$478	\$4,778 \$4,778	-	\$478 \$478	\$4,778 \$4,778	\$2,867

ATTACEMENT "C"

SPREAD OF COSTS FOR POLICE FACILITY REMODELING

EXPANSION ADEQUATE TO YEAR 2000, WITH 70% OF THE

COSTS DUE TO GROWTH AND 30% CURRENTLY REQUIRED.

REVISED: 12-Jul-89

08:34 AM

TTL EXPANSION COST: \$3,934,217

DUE TO GROWTH: \$2,891,650

COST PER EDU: \$168

COMMUNITY/AREA	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 1990		TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 1995	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1995 TO 2000			TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2005	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2005 TO 2010	
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$16,395	\$213,945	\$230,340	\$203,904	\$434,244	\$0	\$434,244	\$0	\$434,244
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$25,223	\$941,374	\$966,597	\$1,256,520	\$2,223,117	\$0	\$2,223,117	\$0	\$2,223,117
TOTAL EAST OF 1-805	\$41,618	\$1,155,319	\$1,196,937	\$1,460,424	\$2,657,361	\$0	\$2,657,361	\$0	\$2,657,361
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$24,592	\$66,400	\$90,992	\$63,214	\$154,206	\$0	\$154,206	\$0	\$154,206
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$34,051	\$21,439	\$55,490	\$24,592	\$80,083	\$0	\$80,083	\$0	\$80,083
TOTAL DOLLARS GENERATED:	\$100,261	\$1,243,159	\$1,343,420	\$1,548,230	\$2,891,650	\$0	\$2,891,650	\$0	\$2,891,650

COMMUNITY/AREA	COST/EDU 1988 TO 1990	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 1988 TO 1990		COST/EDU 1990-1995	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 1990-1995	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 1990-1995	COST/EDU 1995-2000	COST/ACRE COMERCIAL 1995-2000	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 1995-2000	COST/EDU 2000-2005	COST/ACRE COMERCIAL 2000-2005	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 2000-2005	COST/EDU 2005-2010	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 2005-2010	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL 2005-2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY) EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684	\$1,010 \$1,010	\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684	\$1,010	\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684	\$1,010	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	so so	\$0
TOTAL EAST OF I-805		\$1,684	\$1,010	\$168	\$1,684		\$168	\$1,684		\$0	\$(\$0	\$(
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA HONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684	\$1,010 \$1,010	\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684		\$168 \$168	\$1,684 \$1,684	•-•	\$0 \$0	\$(\$(•	\$0 \$0	\$(\$(•

ATTACHMENT "D

CONSTRUCTION COST:	\$7,504,700	SPREA	D OF COSTS FOR NEW CORP	ORATION YARD						
LAND COST:	\$1,642,080	IN TH	E EASTERN TERRITORIES.	COSTS SPREAD	REVISED:	12-Ju1-89				
TTL EXPANSION COST:	\$9,604,119	TO TH	E ENTIRE CITY.			08:34 AM				
COST PER EDU:	\$280									
		TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S
		GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED
COMMUNETY/AREA		1988 TO 1990	1990 TO 1995	1988 TO 1995	1995 TO 2000	1988 TO 2000	2000 TO 2005		2005 TO 2010	1988 TO 2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA	* = = 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$27,220	\$355,208	\$382,428	\$338,536	\$720,965	\$271,293	\$992,258	\$236,740	\$1,228,998
(CITY ONLY) EASTERN TERRITORIES		\$41,877	\$1,562,941	\$1,604,818	\$2,086,170	\$3,690,988	\$2,481,810	\$6,172,798	\$1,236,820	\$7,409,618
TOTAL EAST OF I-805		\$69,097	\$1,918,149	\$1,987,246	\$2,424,707	\$4,411,953	\$2,753,103	\$7,165,056	\$1,473,560	\$8,638,617
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA		\$40,830	\$110,242	\$151,073	\$104,952	\$256,025	\$142,325	\$398,349	\$263,545	\$661,894
MONTGOMERY/OTAY		\$56,534	\$35,595	\$92,129	\$40,830	\$132,960	\$32,455	\$165,414	\$138,194	\$303,608
TOTAL DOILLARS GENERAT	- - TFD:	\$166,461	\$2,063,987	\$2,230,448	\$2,570,489	\$4,800,937	\$2,927,883	\$7,728,820	\$1,875,299	\$9,604,119

		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE
	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDIJ	COMERCIAL.	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL
••••••			1988 TO 1990	1990-1995	1990-1995	1990-1995	1995-2000	1995-2000	1995-2000	2000-2005	2000-2005	2000-2005	2005-2010	2005-2010	2005-2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795	
(CITY ONLY) EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	· •	\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795	•	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677
TOTAL EAST OF 1-805	\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,79		\$280	\$2,795	
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677
MONT GOMERY/OTAY	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795		\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677	\$280	\$2,795	\$1,677

ATTACHMENT "E"

									JI .
BON/SWEETWATER:	\$6,671,784	SPREAD OF COSTS FOR NEW L	IBRARIES IN TH	E					
EASTLAKE BRANCH:	\$2,959,600	EASTERN TERRITORIES. COSTS	S LIMITED TO	REVISED:	12-Ju1-89				
TOTAL SYSTEM COST:	\$10,112,953	THE AREA EAST OF I-805.			08:34 AM				
COST PER EDU:	\$327								
	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S
	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED	GENERATED
COMMUNITY/AREA	1988 ТО 1990	1990 TO 1995	1988 TO 1995	1995 TO 2000		2000 TO 2005		2005 TO 2010	1988 TO 2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$31,866	\$415,831	\$447,697	\$396,314	\$844,010	\$317,595	\$1,161,605		\$1,438,749
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$49,024	\$1,829,685	\$1,878,709	\$2,442,213	\$4,320,922	\$2,905,376	\$7,226,298	\$1,447,906	\$8,674,204
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	\$80,890	\$2,245,516	\$2,326,405	\$2,838,527	\$5,164,933	\$3,222,970	\$8,387,903	\$1,725,050	\$10,112,953
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MONT GONERY/OTAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL DOLLARS GENERA	TED: \$80,890	\$2,245,516	\$2,326,405	\$2,838,527	\$5,164,933	\$3,222,970	\$8,387,903	\$1,725,050	\$10,112,953

		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE
	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCI AL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	CONTERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL
				1990 TO 1995											
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327		\$1,963
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	40,0.0	\$1,963
TOTAL EAST OF I-809	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327	\$3,272	\$1,963	\$327		\$1,963
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	02	\$0	\$0
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	**	\$0

												· ********	774 7	i	
FIRE STATION COSTS	: \$2,452,698	1	SPREAD OF CO	STS FOR FIRE	SUPPRESSION S	YSTEM EXPANSI	MOI								
TRNG FACILITY COST	S \$134,779	*1					REVISED:	12-Ju1-89							
RADIO COMM TOWER:	\$25,368	}						08:34 AM							
TOTAL COST:	\$2,743,487														
COST PER EDU:	\$80)													
		TOTAL \$'S		TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S		TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S		TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S		TOTAL \$'S	TOTAL \$'S	
		GENERATED		GENERATED	GENERATED		GENERATED	GENERATED		GENERATED	GENERATED		GENERATED	GENERATED	
CONTUNITY/AREA		1988 TO 1990		1990 TO 1995	1988 TO 1995		1995 TO 2000	1988 TO 2000			1988 TO 2005			1988 TO 2010	
						***********	:== 9 9 0 == = = = = =				9 6 9 9 Por Ez Zozz		*********		•
SWEETWATER/BONITA		\$7,776		\$101,468	\$109,243		\$96,705	\$205,949		\$77,497	\$283,446		\$67,627	\$351,072	
(CITY ONLY)	_														
EASTERN TERRITORIES	·	\$11,962		\$446,465	\$458,428			\$1,054,358		\$708,947	\$1,763,305		\$353,307	\$2,116,612	
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	5	\$19,738		\$547,933	\$567,671			\$1,260,307		\$786,444	\$2,046,751		\$420.933	\$2,467,684	•
													V.20,000	72, 10, 700 .	
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	A	\$11,663		\$31,492	\$43,155		\$29,980	\$73,135		\$40,656	\$113,791		\$75,283	\$189,075	
MONTGOMERY/OTAY		\$16,149		\$10,168	\$26,317		\$11,663	\$37,981		\$9,271	\$47,252		\$39,476	\$86,728	
TOTAL DOLLARS GENER	RATED:	\$47,551		\$589,593	\$637,144		*734 070	**************************************					=******		-
TOTAL DELETION CENTER		447,331		1303,333	\$037,144		\$/34,2/9	\$1,371,423		\$836,371	\$2,207,794		\$535,693	\$2,743,487	
			COST/DWELLING	UNIT OR ACRE	AFFECTED										
		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		2027/4005							
	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	-	COST/EDU	COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE	COST (FRU	COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE
CONTRUNITY/AREA	•			-				COMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL
	*****	********		***********	1330 10 1333				1995 TO 2000						
SWEETWATER/BONITA	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479		\$798		\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479
(CITY ONLY)															
EASTERN TERRITORIES		\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479
TOTAL EAST OF I-805		\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	**************************************	
				755	7730	4473	400	4730	44/3	\$60	*/98	34/9	¥8U	\$798	\$479
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479
MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479	\$80	\$798	\$479
										,			,,,,	4.30	4.75

COST FOR TOTAL SYSTEM: LESS 65%: TTL GROWTH RELATED COST: COST PER EDU:	\$1,902,600 \$1,236,690 *1 \$699,206 \$41	SPREAD OF COSTS FOR NEW GI SYSTEM. POPULATION/GROWTH TO THE YEAR 2000, BUT SPRE	RELATED COSTS LIMIT		12-Ju1-89 08:34 AM			Attacherne	"G"
COMMUNITY/AREA	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 1990	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1990 TO 1995		TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1995 TO 2000		TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2000 TO 2005		TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2005 TO 2010	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$3,964		\$55,697	\$49,304	\$105,001	\$0		\$0	\$105,001
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$6,099	\$227,626	\$233,725	\$303,829	\$537,553	\$0	\$537,553	\$0	\$537,553
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	\$10,063	\$279,358	\$289,421	\$353,133	\$642,554	\$0	\$642,554	\$0	\$642,554
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA MONTGOMERY/OTAY	\$5,946 \$8,234	\$16,056 \$5,184	\$22,002 \$13,418	\$15,285 \$5,946	\$37,287 \$19,364	\$0 \$0	\$37,287 \$19,364	\$0 \$0	\$37,287 \$19,364
TOTAL DOLLARS GENERATED:	\$24,243 *1 THE 65% FI	\$300,598 IGURE REPRESENTS THE RATIO	\$324,841 OF NEW POPULATION TO	\$374,364 TOTAL POPULATION.	\$699,206	\$0	\$699,206	\$0	\$699,206
		COST/DWELLING UNIT OR ACRE	AFFECTED						
CO nn unity/area j	COST/ACRE COST/EDU COMMERCIAL 988 TO 1990 1988 TO 1990	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL COST/EDU 1988 TO 1990 1990 TO 1995 1	COST/ACRE COST/ COMMERCIAL INDUST	RIAI. COST/EDU 1995 1995 TO 2000 1	995 TO 2000 1	COST/ACRE INDUSTRIAL COST/EDU 1995 TO 2000 2000 TO 2005	2000 TO 2005 2	000 TO 2005 2005 TO 2010 2	COST/ACRE COMMERCIAL 2005 TO 2010

SWEETWATER/BONITA \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$244 \$407 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 (CITY ONLY) EASTERN TERRITORIES \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL EAST OF I-805 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 CENTRAL CHULA VISTA \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 MONTGOHERY/OTAY \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$41 \$407 \$244 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

ATTACHMENT "H

TOTAL DOLLARS GENERATED FOR ALL PROJECTS

REVISED: 12-Jul-89

08:34 AM

COHOLINITY/AREA	TOTAL \$'S GEMERATED 1988 TO 1990	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1990 TO 1995			TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2000	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2000 10 2005	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2005	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 2005 TO 2010	TOTAL \$'S GENERATED 1988 TO 2010
SWEETWATER/BONITA (CITY ONLY)	\$133,751	\$1,745,380	\$1,879,131	\$1,663,460	\$3,542,591	\$1,130,136	\$4,672,726	\$986,196	\$5,658,922
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$205,770	\$7,679,791	\$7,885,562	\$10,250,776	\$18,136,338	\$10,338,555	\$28,474,893	\$5,152,262	\$33,627,156
TOTAL EAST OF I-805	\$339,521	\$9,425,171	\$9,764,692	\$11,914,236	\$21,678,929	\$11,468,691	\$33,147,620	\$6,138,458	\$39,286,078
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$152,828	\$412,639	\$565,466	\$392,838	\$807,232	\$426,27 1	\$1,384,575	\$789,333	\$2,173,908
HONTGONERY/OTAY	\$211,607	\$133,234	\$344,842	\$152,828	\$405,540	\$97,204	\$594,873	\$413,900	\$1,008,774
TTL \$\$'S GENERATED:	\$703,956	\$9,971,044	\$10,675,000	\$12,459,902	\$23,134,902	\$11,992,166	\$35,127,068	\$7,341,692	\$42,468,760

TOTAL COST/DWELLING UNIT OR ACRE FOR ALL PROJECTS

		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE		COST/ACRE	COST/ACRE
	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	COST/EDU	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL
COMMUNITY/AREA	1988 TO 1990	1988 TO 1990	1988 10 1990	1990-1995	1990-1995	1990-1995	1995-2000	1995-2000	1995-2000	2000-2005	2000-2005	2000-2005	2005-2010	2005~2010	2005-2010
*************				************			=00 <i>0</i> 0=======			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			***		
SWEETWATER/BONITA	\$1,374	\$13,735	\$8,241	\$1,374	\$13,735	\$8,241	\$1,374	\$13,735	\$8,241	\$1,164	\$11,644	\$6,987	\$1,164	\$11,644	\$6,987
(CITY ONLY)															•
EASTERN TERRITORIES	\$1,374	\$13,735	\$8,241	\$1,374	\$13,735		\$1,374	\$13,735		\$1,164	\$11,644		\$1,164	\$11,644	• •
EAST OF I-805	\$1,374	\$13,735	\$8,241	\$1,374	\$13,735		\$1,374	\$13,735		\$1,164	\$11,644		\$1,164	\$11.644	
									• •		,,-	*	,-,	V22/ 2	45,55.
CENTRAL CHULA VISTA	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$837	\$8,372	\$5,023	\$837	\$8,372	\$5,023
MONTGOHERY/OTAY	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$1,046	\$10,463	\$6,278	\$837	\$8,372	\$5,023	\$837	\$8,372	\$5,023
-															